UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): November 6, 2007

Mercury Computer Systems, Inc. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Massachusetts (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

000-23599 (Commission File Number)

04-2741391 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

199 Riverneck Road, Chelmsford, Massachusetts (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

01824 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (978) 256-1300

N/A

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure.

The management of Mercury Computer Systems, Inc. ("Mercury") will present an overview of Mercury's business on November 6 and 7, 2007 at the American Electronics Association (AeA) Classic Financial Conference. Attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K (the "Report") is a copy of the slide presentation to be made by Mercury at the conference.

This information is being furnished pursuant to Item 7.01 of this Report and shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section and will not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement filed by Mercury under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, unless specifically identified as being incorporated therein by reference. This Report will not be deemed an admission as to the materiality of any information in this Report that is being disclosed pursuant to Regulation FD.

Please refer to page 2 of Exhibit 99.1 for a discussion of certain forward-looking statements included therein and the risks and uncertainties related thereto, as well as the use of non-GAAP financial measures included therein.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit No.

Description 99.1 Presentation materials dated November 6-7, 2007.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

MERCURY COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC. (Registrant)

Date: November 6, 2007

By: /s/ Alex N. Braverman

Alex N. Braverman Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer Exhibit No. 99.1

Description Presentation materials dated November 6-7, 2007.



Challenges Drive Innovation



The AeA Classic Financial Conference November 6-7, 2007

Jay Bertelli, President, Chief Executive Officer & Chairman Marcelo Lima, President, Visage Imaging Bob Hult, SVP, Chief Financial Officer

© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Forward-Looking Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including those relating to anticipated fiscal 2008 business performance and beyond. You can identify these statements by our use of the words "may," "will," "should," "plans," "expects," "anticipates," "continue," "estimate," "project," "intend," and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected or anticipated. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, general economic and business conditions, including unforeseen weakness in the Company's markets, effects of continued geopolitical unrest and regional conflicts, competition, changes in technology and methods of marketing, delays in completing engineering and manufacturing programs, changes in customer order patterns, changes in product mix, continued success in technological advances and delivering technological innovations, continued funding of defense programs, the timing of such funding, changes in the U.S. Government's interpretation of federal procurement rules and regulations, market acceptance of the Company's products, shortages in components, production delays due to performance quality issues with outsourced components, the inability to fully realize the expected benefits from acquisitions or delays in realizing such benefits, challenges in integrating acquired businesses and achieving anticipated synergies, and difficulties in retaining key customers. These risks and uncertainties also include such additional risk factors as are discussed in the Company's recent filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2007. The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance upon any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made.

Use of Non-GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) Financial Measures

In addition to reporting financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, the Company provides non-GAAP financial measures adjusted to exclude certain specified charges, which the Company believes are useful to help investors better understand its past financial performance and prospects for the future. However, the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for financial information provided in accordance with GAAP. Management believes these non-GAAP financial measures assist in providing a more complete understanding of the Company's underlying operational results and trends, and management uses these measures, along with their corresponding GAAP financial measures, to manage the Company's business, to evaluate its performance compared to prior periods and the marketplace, and to establish operational goals. A reconciliation of GAAP to non-GAAP financial measures discussed in this presentation is contained in the company's First Quarter Fiscal Year 2008 earnings release, which can be found on our website at <u>www.mc.com/mediacenter/pressreleaseslist.aspx.</u>

www.mc.com

© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.



Mercury Overview



Q1 FY08 Revenue Mix: \$49.2 million



Investment Highlights



- Data explosion across multiple markets
- Mercury uniquely positioned to implement multi-core processing systems
- Strategic acquisitions starting to produce
- Developing applications for PACS / Radiology market using advanced visualization technology
- New alignment of internal competencies will drive new business opportunities in Core
- Recent cost-reduction initiatives will improve margins

We combine our deep technical expertise and extensive knowledge of the science behind our customers' applications, to deliver reliable performance and sustained value.





Our domain expertise spans the entire data stream

Example: acquiring sensor data from a defense application



- Sensor streaming
- Scalable within the application
- Real-time signal processing
- Embedded (real estate, environmental, cooling constraints)

Our acceleration expertise spans diverse applications – all of which require faster, more reliable results

Example: accelerating image processing in semiconductor wafer inspection



Software-programmable solutions



Our products span the entire signal processing chain

From RF to Visualization. Air to Conduction-Cooled. Boards to Systems.



- Modular boards and integrated systems
- Robust software and tools
- From open-standard COTS to custom
- Ruggedization
- Scalable architectures
- Comprehensive services

2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Visualization

www.mc.com





Advanced Computing Solutions

ACS focuses on specialized, high-performance computing solutions that leverage Mercury's capabilities in sensor computing, computational acceleration, and delivery of complex system-level solutions.

EXAMPLE SEGMENTS

Aerospace and defense

- Semiconductor
- Telecommunications
- Medical imaging

1QFY08

Revenue: \$42.2 million
Non-GAAP Operating Income: ~\$4.2 million
No. of employees: ~460

•GM: ~high 50s





Mercury Well-Positioned in Defense



Strong customer base

- 20 years of experience
- COTS model
- Technology leadership
 - Broadest range of products
 - RF, Data Acq, Processing, Visualization
 - Deployed on:
 - Ships, UAVs, Fighters, Ground Vehicles, Airborne ISR Platforms

Broadening market portfolio

- Signals intelligence
- Net-centric warfare
 - Wideband Data Links
- UAVs
 - Synthetic Vision
- Sonar
- Smart weapons
- Ground based radar





© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Semiconductor Market





Communications



AdvancedTCA DSP and FPGA compute solutions

- Satellite ground stations for Ancillary Terrestrial Component (ATC)
- Wideband Data Link Modems for Net-centric Warfare (HDR-RF modem)
- System development platforms for wireless infrastructure applications



Visualization Sciences Group

VSG focuses on the development and distribution of software developer toolkits and 3D application software for volume rendering of very large data sets.

EXAMPLE SEGMENTS

Geosciences

- Engineering and manufacturing
- Material sciences
- •Other industrial and scientific domains

1QFY08

Revenue: \$2.6 million
Non-GAAP Operating Income:
~\$0.6 million
No. of employees: ~49

•GM: ~high 80s

15 www.mc.com





Emerging Businesses

Mercury's emerging businesses are focused on cultivating new opportunities that can benefit from Mercury's deep optimization expertise and services.

EXAMPLE SEGMENTS

High-performance computing and visualization in biotech, aircraft navigation, intelligence and homeland security



- Embrace and expand existing federal COTS business by repositioning ourselves in the critical path of our prime vendors and achieve new levels of COTS leverage.
- Initiate new business opportunities throughout the national security community using existing competencies and solutions and strategic partnering.
- Establish a new solutions-based services model that sells directly to government customers.



Visage Imaging, Inc.

Mercury's wholly owned subsidiary focuses on the development and distribution of advanced visualization and PACS (picture archival and communications system) solutions, and other 3D software solutions in the life sciences segment.

1QFY08

•Revenue: \$3.9 million •Non-GAAP Operating Income: \sim (\$1.9 million) •No. of employees: ~109

•GM: ~mid 60s



www.mc.com 18



Computer Systems, Inc.



Marcelo Lima, President



Provider of Advanced Visualization Software and Systems to Medical Imaging Markets

- Wholly owned subsidiary of Mercury Computer Systems
- 110 associates, in four centers (U.S. and EU)
- Sell through OEM, dealers, and direct



FY Revenues (\$MM)

Why Visage Imaging?



Acceptance of advanced visualization makes 3D a catalyst of change in the imaging technology market

- Vertical market focus
- Operational efficiency
- Shareholder value creation

2D/3D Anywhere, Anytime



Visage Imaging Products

	OEMs	Dealers & Distributors	Direct (end-user)
Visage RT	Х		
Visage VR	Х		
Visage WS	Х		
Visage PACS	Х	X	X (USA)
Visage CS	Х	X	X (USA)
Amira	Х		X (research)
Professional Services	Х		
Embedded Systems	Х		Х



VISAGE IMAGING

3D image viewing, post-processing, and image distribution solution » Visage CS

Visage PACS

amira® Visual Data Exploration

A Selection of Our Customers per Market Segment

VISAGE IMAGING





- 5,000 Hospitals
- 5,000 Diagnostic Imaging Centers
- 50,000 Radiologists
- 750,000 Physicians
- 50,000,000 Imaging Examinations per Year

Data Explosion Driving New Imaging Technology



- Data sets of up to 1GB+/study
- Enormous retrieval and loading times
- 1000+ slice studies cannot be read off film or by scrolling through 2D slice viewers
- Techniques such as MPR, slabs, or volume rendering increase imaging efficiency and accuracy



Typical CT Exam

1995 – 20 Images

- 2000 100 Images
- 2007 2,000 Images

Take Advantage of the 3D Nature of Data









- Improved diagnostics
- Better, faster reading
- 3D additional revenue source

Visage Imaging's Strategy



- Focus on:
 - PACS appliance for advanced visualization (thin-client)
 - PACS appliance for image distribution (Web)
- Multi-channel approach:
 - Leverage large PACS OEMs at top end of U.S. market
 - Enter direct sales in U.S., focus on small hospitals and clinics
- Build COTS-based scalable thin client server for enterprise imaging
- Learn from amira applications
- Partner/license from leading clinical sites



Radiology Modalities





- Revenues
 - \$1.10 billion in 2005
 - \$1.77 in 2012
 - CAGR 7%
- Replacements expected to represent 36.7% of PACS contracts and 56.7% of total market revenues in 2012
- Visage addresses faster-growing Web and Advanced Imaging applications within this market
- Web PACS image distribution is estimated at \$100M in 2007

Source: Frost & Sullivan study, 2006 – "U.S. Turnkey Radiology Picture Archiving and Communications (PACS) Markets "

Opportunity in Advanced Visualization PACS Appliances



- Turnkey U.S. radiology PACS market approaching maturity
- Growth now focused on replacement sales, communitybased healthcare facilities, and the imaging center market
- Synergy between markets for PACS, RIS, *advanced visualization,* reporting, *and clinical software tools*
- Opportunity to provide advanced visualization solutions that will help OEMs drive replacements in the PACS and RIS installed base





- Image processing algorithms and clinical applications packages
- Performing 3D image reformations (MIPs, MPRs), various rendering methods, measurements and calculations on volumes, time and flow representations (4D)
- Historically packaged into an individual workstation since PACS reading stations cannot offer the horsepower required

 Advances in imaging technologies are making advanced visualization a necessity

VISAGE IMAGING

- CT
- MR
- Client/server technology enabling 3D
 - More powerful servers for immense processing requirements of 3D
 - Thin-clients enable enterprise-wide deployment and Web-based access to advanced technology
- New applications and paradigms, driven by 3D, enhancing and expanding the benefits of radiology
 - PACS integration key to enhancing the workflow for 3D imaging
 - Clinical applications are evolving into highly specialized modules

Source: Frost & Sullivan study, 2007 - "North American 3D/4D Visualization for Medical Imaging Markets" 32

3D/4D Growth



North American 3D/4D Visualization for Medical Imaging Market expected to grow at a CAGR of over 15% and to over \$1 billion by 2011

Advanced 3D/4D Visualization for Medical Imaging Markets: Total Revenue Forecasts by Tier of Competition (North America), 2003-2013



Note: All figures are rounded; the base year is 2006. Source: Frost & Sullivan

Source: Frost & Sullivan study, 2007 - "North American 3D/4D Visualization for Medical Imaging Markets"



Market Share*	Reconstruct	Visualize	Distribute	Archive
Visage Imaging 5%	Х	Х	Х	Х
Vital Images 32%		Х	Х	
TeraRecon 28%	Х	Х	Х	
Barco-Voxar 19%		Х	Х	

Source: Frost & Sullivan study, 2007 - "North American 3D/4D Visualization for Medical Imaging Markets" 34

Visage Imaging's Advantages



- Scalable software & COTS platforms
 - Fast time-to-market, ease of upgrades
- PACS and RIS integration
 - Diagnostic workflow
- Image quality & speed
 - Algorithms and GPU know-how
- amira research installed base
 - >3500 universities worldwide
- Web PACS installed base
 - >1300 sites worldwide

The Pain Points in the Imaging Chain



Ask the doctor...

"why isn't this software available everywhere?"

"the studies take forever to load" "I wish I could review this in my office or from home"

"I can't find the data on this workstation" "our network is too slow"

"this machine is too slow for 3D"

"have I sent my results to everyone?"

"the 3D images prepared by the tech are not sufficient to judge this case"

Ask the IT people...

"how can we keep pace with all the new 3D technology?"

"we cannot replace all our existing PCs"

"the DICOM traffic kills our network"

"we need easy, web-based deployment"

"the same reading software must be available everywhere throughout the hospital"

"cannot ensure integrity of all the distributed pieces of data"

Isolated Workstations Aggravate the Problem





- Data inconsistencies
- Sending data over the network multiple times
- Missing interfaces with RIS/HIS
- Workstation hardware quickly outdated
- Workplace becomes the bottleneck



- Process data sets on scalable server built with standard components
- Integrate with PACS and RIS on front end and back end
 - One consistent central data storage
- Local and remote access for multiple users on any client PC, anytime, anywhere
- Blazingly fast 2D, 3D, and 4D viewing, post-processing, and primary interpretation for all modalities saves time
 - "Instant" access initial display of 2,000 slice series in < 2 seconds
- Physician can use all the tools he/she wants when needed and where needed
- Additional technical and professional reimbursement

Usage Example: Hospital PACS

VISAGE IMAGING



Cardiac CT Analysis on Thin Clients



From CT Scan to diagnosis of coronary artery disease in less then 10 minutes

Let the Thin 3D Client help! Why look at 3,000 slices when you could look at the entire heart in 3D!

CT Scan of heart in 36 seconds!



More than 3,000 slices to read!



With the CS Server, the radiologist or cardiologist can view, evaluate and diagnose rapidly from anywhere in the hospital or office.

Functional assessment of multi-phase Cardiac CT

- Automatic segmentation
 of left ventricle
- Volumetric analysis
- Ejection fraction, stroke volume, cardiac output, etc.
- Wall motion analysis
- AHA-style bull's eye representation





- Application example:
 - Cerebral aneurism
 - Have a closer look at the base of the aneurism to plan coiling
- Features:
 - Quick navigation and flexible reformatting
 - Quick 3D viewing of volumes of interest (crop box)
 - Flexible Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP)
- Benefits:
 - Quick and accurate treatment planning



Example: Aneurysm (3D MIP)





Importance of 3D in Many Areas of Medicine Increasing



Surgical Simulation

Treatment Planning



Preclinical Imaging

Cell biology

Drug Design





- ... for your time and attention!
- Questions...
- Learn more:

Address 🚳 http://www.visageimaging.com/





Challenges Drive Innovation



Financial Overview

© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Revenue Follows Technology Cycles

ARC - RF Momentum - SBC Echotek – A/D-D/A June Fiscal Year End SoHard - PACS TGS – 3D ţ ŧ \$250 Revenue (\$M) \$236 \$224 \$225* **10% CAGR** \$186 \$181 **FY98 - FY08E** \$180 \$150 \$141 \$107 \$86 1998 1999 2001 2005 2006 2000 2002 2003 2004 2007 2008E t t t t t t **Rapid IO** Cell BE **PowerPC** MP-510 Northstar Ensemble **DSP/GPU / FPGA Processors** Processor RACE++ *Per Company guidance, October 24, 2007 earnings conference call

46 www.mc.com

© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Inventory Turns Supply chain transformation 4.9

 Competitive advantage for **Mercury and customers**

Customer satisfaction

DSO target 50 days

www.mc.com

Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.





Focus on Working Capital

7.5



Historically strong	Quarter end
halance cheet	Cash ar
Dalance Sheel	Total Cu
Net cash positive: \$34M	Total As
Projected FY08 capex of	Total De
\$7 million	Total Lia
	Stockho

Positive free cash flow in FY08

Quarter ended September 30, 2007		
Cash and Equivalents	\$159	
Total Current Assets	\$206	
Total Assets	\$356	
Total Debt *	\$125	
Total Liabilities	\$185	
Stockholders' Equity	\$171	

• 2% convertible senior notes offering due 2024

48 www.mc.com

Commitment to Timeless Business Model

Challenges Drive Innova

MERCU

				IT.		<
Non-GAAP	FY05	FY06	FY07	Guidance FY08*	Timeless Business Model	\geq
Revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Gross Margin	66%	62%	56%	59%	60+%	Approaching model!
SG&A	29%	34%	36%	33%	Mid 20%	Costs
R&D	20%	25%	26%	24%	High Teens	Reduced
Income from Operations	17%	3%	(6%)	2%	16-18%	

*Per Company guidance, October 24, 2007 earnings conference call

49 www.mc.com

© 2007 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Q2 Fiscal Year 2008 Guidance



- Impact of equity-based compensation costs related to FAS 123(R) of approximately \$3.0M excluded from non-GAAP
- Acquisition-related amortization of approximately \$1.8M excluded from non-GAAP

Notes:

- **1)** Figures in millions, except percent and per share data which includes adjustment for contingent convertibles, in accordance with GAAP
- 2) Company guidance, October 24, 2007 earnings conference call

50 www.mc.com



Fiscal Year 2008 Guidance



- Impact of equity-based compensation costs related to FAS 123(R) of approximately \$11M excluded from non-GAAP
- Acquisition-related amortization of approximately \$7M excluded from non-GAAP

Notes:

- **1)** Figures in millions, except percent and per share data which includes adjustment for contingent convertibles, in accordance with GAAP
- 2) Company guidance, October 24, 2007 earnings conference call

51 www.mc.com





www.mc.com NASDAQ: MRCY