UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE **SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): November 10, 2010

Mercury Computer Systems, Inc. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Massachusetts (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation) 000-23599 (Commission File Number)

04-2741391 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

201 Riverneck Road, Chelmsford, Massachusetts 01824 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (978) 256-1300

Not Applicable

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Regulation FD Disclosure. Item 7.01

The management of Mercury Computer Systems, Inc. ("Mercury") will present an overview of Mercury's business on November 10, 2010, at Mercury's Eleventh Annual Investor Conference. Attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K (the "Report") is a copy of the slide presentation to be made by Mercury at the conference.

This information is being furnished pursuant to Item 7.01 of this Report and shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section and will not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement filed by Mercury under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, unless specifically identified as being incorporated therein by reference. This Report will not be deemed an admission as to the materiality of any information in this Report that is being disclosed pursuant to Regulation FD.

Please refer to page 2 of Exhibit 99.1 for a discussion of certain forward-looking statements included therein and the risks and uncertainties related thereto, as well as the use of non-GAAP financial measures included therein.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

Description

Exhibits (d)

Exhibit No.

99.1 Presentation materials dated November 10, 2010.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 10, 2010

MERCURY COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.

/S/ ROBERT E. HULT Robert E. Hult Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer

By:

Exhibit No.

Description

99.1 Presentation materials dated November 10, 2010.



Eleventh Annual Investor Conference

November 10, 2010 New York, NY



Forward-Looking Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including those relating to fiscal 2011 business performance and beyond and the Company's plans for growth and improvement in profitability and cash flow. You can identify these statements by the use of the words "may," "will," "should," "plans," "expects, ""anticipates, ""continue," "estimate," "project," "intend," and similar expressions. "will," "should," "plans," "expects, ""anticipates," continue," "estimate," "project," "intend," and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected or anticipated. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, general economic and business conditions, including unforeseen weakness in the Company's markets, effects of continued geopolitical unrest and regional conflicts, competition, changes in technology and methods of marketing, delays in completing engineering and manufacturing programs, changes in customer order patterns, changes in product mix, continued success in technological advances and delivering technological innovations, continued funding of defense programs, the timing of such funding, changes in the U.S. Government's interpretation of federal procurement rules and regulations, market acceptance of the Company's products, shortages in components, production delays due to performance quality issues with outsourced components, inability to fully realize the expected benefits from acquisitions and divestitures or delays in realizing such benefits, challenges in integrating acquired businesses and achieving anticipated synergies, changes to export regulations, increases in tax rates, changes to generally accepted accounting ples, difficulties in retaining key employees and customers, unanticipated costs under fixed-price service and system integration engagements, and various other factors beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties also include such additional risk factors as are discussed in the Company's filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance upon any such forward-looking year ended June 30, 2010. The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance upon any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made.

Use of Non-GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) Financial Measures In addition to reporting financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, the Company provides adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow, which are non-GAAP financial measures. Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain non-cash and other specified charges. Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures. The Company believes these non-GAAP financial measures are useful to help investors better understand its past financial performance and prospects for the future. However, the presentation of adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for financial information provided in accordance with GAAP. Management believes the adjusted EBITDA and freeloastinancial measures assist in providing a more complete understanding of the Company's underlying operational results and trends, and managemethesees measures along with the corresponding GAAP financial measureanage the Company's business, to evaluate its performance compared to prior periods and the marketplace, and to establish operational goals. A reconciliation of GAAP to non-GAAP financial results discussed in this presentation is contained in the Company's most recent earnings release, which can be found on our website at www.mc.com/mediacenter/pressreleaseslist.aspx.

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
 - Mark Aslett, President & CEO
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast(a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff

- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
- Financial Review
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

Introducing Mercury Computer Systems

- MRCY on NASDAQ
- 500+ employees worldwide
- FY10 revenues of \$200M, 15% Adj. EBITDA
- Defense revenue ~80% and 42% growth (12% CAGR) FY07-10
- Real-time digital image, signal and sensor processing solutions
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS), Mercury Federal Systems (MFS) divisions

or ns ens

Best-of-breed provider of commercially developed, open application ready and multi-INT subsystems for the ISR marke

The Mercury executive team



Mark Aslett President & CEO



Craig A. Saline SVP Human Resources



Robert E. Hult CFO & Treasurer



Dr. Ian Dunn

CTO, ACS

Didier M.C. Thibaud SVP & GM, ACS



Gerald M. Haines II SVP Corporate Development



David R. Martinez President, MFS



 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^\circ}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Stephen G. Anderson VP Product Management & Operations, ACS



VP Engineering, ACS



Charles A. Speicher VP, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer



Brian Hoerl VP Sales, ACS

5

The Mercury board of directors



President & CEO



William K. O'Brien Former Global Managing Partner, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC)



Vincent Vitto Chairman of the Board Retired President & CEO, Draper Labs



George K. Muellner Retired President, Advanced Systems for Integrated Defense Systems, Boeing; Former Principal Deputy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition



George W. Chamillard Retired Chairman & CEO, Teradyne Inc.



Lee C. Steele Partner, Tatum, LLC





James K. Bass Retired President & CEO, Piper Aircraft © 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Michael A. Daniels Retired Sector Vice President, SAIC Former Chairman & CEO, Network Solutions

Mercury continues to transform and grow

Refocused the Business

- Rebuilt the executive team
- Refocused business on economic core
- Developed a long term compelling vision for Defense
- Focused on high value and growth market segments
- Divested 5 noncore businesses

Restored Profitability Improved Operations

- Refreshed product portfolio
- Improved core profitability
- Improved operations and working capital
- Repaid \$125M convertible debt
- Developed organic growth drivers

Transform, Grow and Scale the Business

- Increase number / design win values
- Grow services and systems integration
- Existing programs
- Grow ISR systems / services business
- Acquire performing companies to strengthen and grow Defense core

7

First two phases complete. Focused on growth and acquisitie

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

FY07 -FY10: Restored profitability and growth

Defense 42% growth (12% CAGR) since FY07. FY10 Adjusted EBITDA 15%



FY08 - FY10 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2010 form 10K.
 FY10 Earnings per Share were positively influenced by the partial reversal of the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets and an effective FY10 tax rate benefit of approximately 5%

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Mercury's vision

Transform Mercury's profile and market position from a commercial item ("COTS") vendor to the Primes to a "National Asset" and critical component of the Prime, DoD and Intelligence Community industrial base for affordable Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconaissance subsystems and capabilitie

Best-of-breed provider of commercially developed, open application ready and multi-INT subsystems for the ISR mark

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

We've been making customers successful for 30 years



By delivering superior solutions We solve problems that can't be solved with commercial computing

- State-of-the-art, mixed silicon, real-time embedded signal processing and multicomputing solutions
- Advanced Size, Weight and Power design and packaging
- Ruggedized for deployment; production volume ready
- Application middleware: portability, scalability, highavailability, virtualization
- Best performance available using open standards

A proud heritage of innovation and technology leadership



However, today's threats are more challenging

- Explosion in sensors and overwhelming data
- EW: new and rapidly evolving threats
- Radar: smaller, faster targets. New technologies
- EO/IR: leap in imaging detail, onboard exploitation and real-time tactical acces
- C4: Net-centric command, control and collaboration
- Time to relevant information is critical









Causing greater demand for Mercury's solutions and capabil

DoDbudget request and C4ISR forecast



- DoDbudget projections are:
 - \$667B in 2009
 - \$693B in 2010
 - \$708B in 2011
- Frost & Sullivan DoD4ISR spending:
 - \$41.2B in 2009
 - \$42.7B in 2010
 - \$43.3B in 2011

C4ISR spending is approx 6% of total BpeD ding annually

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Source: US DoD4ISR Market, Frost & Sullivan, June 2010

Defense procurement reform: Restoring affordability to Defense goods and services



- Topline DoDbudget pressure
- 2-3% budget growth in real terms
- Save \$100B in overhead over 5 years
- Provide the war fighting capability our Nation needs with the dollars we have
- Obtain greater efficiency, affordability and productivity in Defense spending
- Avoid program turbulence and maintain a vibrant and healthy Defense industry

DoDfocused on better buying power through government and industry productivity growth

Secretary Gates and Undersecretary Carter have issued 23 principal actions in five major areas:



- 1. Target affordability and control cost growth
- 2. Incent productivity and industry innovation
- 3. Promote real competition
- 4. Improve tradecraft in services acquisition
- 5. Reduce non-productive processes and bureaucracy

DoDfocused on better buying power through government and industry productivity growth

Mercury fully supports the Dobitiatives and has an important role in achieving the desired goals						
 Target affordability and control cost growth Incent productivity and 	Mandate affordabilityEliminateDrive productivityShorter program timelinesportfoliogrowth will costshould cost/should cost					
industry innovation	Reward contractors for Increase use of fixed					
3.Promote real competition	expense management target contracts					
4. Improve tradecraft in services acquisition5. Reduce non-productive processes and bureaucracy	Reinvigorate industry's Preferred supplier independent R&D program					
	Economical Adjust progress payment to production runs incent performance					
	Require open systemsIncrease dynamic smallarchitecturesbusiness role in Defense					
DoDfocused on better buying power through government and industry productivity growth						

In addition, and as a direct result of procuremen reform, our customers are seeking ways to:

- Reduce risk (technical programmatic –business)
- Increase P-Win on must win new programs and upgrades
- Maintain or improve margins under firm fixed contract awards
- Compress development and deployment cycles
- Differentiate from competition with less IRAD
- Turn fixed operating costs to variable period expenses



17

Primes moving to outsourcing with best-of-breed partners

Over the years Primes have utilized different approaches to these challenges ...

In-house make vs. buy Internal development

Catalog / COTS boards Integrate commodity solution

Best-of-breed Open and extensible subsystem solutionhoices from best among eco-system

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Let's look at these in more detail ...

Evaluation of alternative approaches from Prime's viewpoint

	Lowest Risk	Least Cost	Reduced Time	Improved P-Win	
In-house make vs. bu	uy 🕒	ightarrow	O	•	
Catalog / COTS boar	ds 🕒	•	•	•	
Best-of-breed subsystem	•	•	•	•	
Margury is balaing the Drimes success din this result are tirear					
Mercury is helping the Primes succeed in this new environm					
© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.		= Best	🛈 = Fair 🔿	= Poor 19	

Our business model evolved significantly in FY08 in anticipation of the issues the Primes now face

- Forward looking business model established FY08
 - ACS Services and Systems Integration
 - Mercury Federal Systems
- Focused on application ready and ISR subsystems
- ACS application ready 'White Box'subsystems to Primes
- MFS classified, platform ready ISR 'Black Box' subsystems to Primes



Capability and flexibility give Primes a competitive advantage

What is an application ready ISR subsystem? What it's not is simply "Packaged COTS"

- Customizable configurations
 - Open, best-of-breed building blocks from Mercury and ^{gl}parties
 - -From RF to visualization
 - SWaPand performance optimized
 - Application middleware: portability, scalability, high availability, virtualization
 - -Prime ISR application read
 - Pre-established TRL, MRL



Mercury is responding with a family of application ready subsystem solu

Mercury's application middleware and systems expertise differentiate us from the competition



© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

'White Box'success story Ground Missile Defense

ACS SSI services-led sale leads to production subsystem annuity stream

PROGRAM BACKGROUND:

- Prime embraced outsourcing. 80% external vs20% last time around
- · Desired best-of-breed partners
- Rapidly needed open 'COTradar application ready subsystem
- Prime provides application, sensor and platform integration



RADAR APPLICATION READY SUBSYSTMEWRCURY BUSINESS MODEL:

- OpenVPXprocessing subsystem
- Conduction-cooled chassis
- Multi-plane backplane
- Modified power supplies
- Systems management capabilities
- Delivered as configured subsystem
- ACS SSI services-led sale
- Leveraged existing product portfolio
- Paid \$6m engineering development services, \$6m systems integration, \$6m 1st production system
- 17-20 country 'White Boxproduction susbsystem annuity stream

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

'Black Boxsuccess story Wide Area Persistent ISR

MFS services-led sale leads to production subsystem annuity stream

PROGRAM BACKGROUND:

- Real-time full motion video direct to troops at tactical edge day and night
- QRC phase 1 deployment ~12 months QRC phase 2 expected
- Open plug and play sensor architecture
- Best-of-breedmodel EO/IRsensors, processing, algorithms, comm's
- Leverage Gov^{*} where possible



EO/IR APPLICATION READY SUBSYSTEMERCURY BUSINESS MODEL:

- •FPGA/Intel/GPU processing system
- Solid state storage subsystem
- •Sensor & GPS integration
- Algorithm integration
- •Onboard exploitation, dissemination

- •MFS won program ĐCAA terms
- Subcontracted design, development, integration, production of 'White Box'o ACS SSIcommercial terms
- 'Black Box'production subsystem annuity stream

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Our hybrid business model provides a flexible program response capability with better value

MFS

- DCAA contracting business model
- Top secret / SCI personnel
- Leverages \$40M ACS product and subsystem IRAD investments
- Enhances ACS application ready
 'White Box'with classified IP
- Platform ready, affordable ISR 'Black Boxsubsystems
- Uniquely positioned to transition IP from Government labs to subsystems
- Variable vs. Prime fixed cost model

ACS

- Commercial item business model
- Outsourcing partner to the primes
- Best-of-breed, open, application ready 'White Boxsubsystems
- Primes can influence and leverage \$40M Mercury IRAD through SSI
- Leverage R&D investments and expertise across multiple programs
- Services led engagement leads to production subsystem annuities
- Variable vs. Prime fixed cost model

Capability and flexibility provide affordable value added solut

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Acquisition strategy

1. Strengthen and grow the core ACS Defense business:

- Extend / enhance current product portfolio to include RF, mission computing and communications to increase platform production content
- Develop and scale an outsourced engineering services and systems integration model leading to production application ready subsystems as the Primes outsource more and divest non-core assets

2. Expand our total addressable market through MFS and increase our ISR domain expertise and capabilities:

- Transform Mercury's business model to become a services-led, best-ofbreed ISR subsystems and technology-enabled software and services partner to the Primes
- Strengthen ISR domain expertise and capabilities: personnel, know-how, clearances, contract vehicles and customer access

Best-of-breed provider of commercially developed, open application ready and multi-INT subsystems for the ISR mark

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Acquisition candidate likely characteristics:

- Focused on ACS product line extensions and ISR business platform for MFS
- Businesses that are profitable and growing
- In the low tens of millions revenue to start
- Privately held
- Can be executed with assets on hand or available
- Accretive within 12 months or less

Best-of-breed provider of commercially developed, open application ready and multi-INT subsystems for the ISR mark

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Positioned for growth in a changing industry



- Focusedon growingISR market strong position on important, well funded programs
- Outsourcingpartnerto the Primes–best-ofbreed application ready and ISR subsystems
- Government amenable business model well aligned with defense procurement reform
- Delivering strong organic growth in defense with robust proforma target business model
- Pursuing complementary ISR acquisitions to transition business model and scale

Continuing to build a pure-play, best-of-breed ISR subsystem and technology-enabled software and services company

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast(a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff

- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
- Financial Review
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

Outline

- Opening remarks
- DoDefficiency initiatives, acquisition reform and affordability
- DoDbudget outlook
- Addressing today's wars and tomorrow's potential conflicts
- Program implications for ISRand Mercury

30

• Summary

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast (a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
 - David Martinez, President
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
- Financial Review
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

MFS in the context of Mercury's five defense growth drivers

- UAV EO/IR QRC & production
- SIGINT QRC & production
- ISR government labs IP transfer
- Best-of-breed solution provider
- Classified ISR subsystems



Growth based organically and through acquisitions
US DoDC4ISR: Technology roadmap and trends 2010-2030

Intelligence	Focus on COMINT, M ⁻ and FMV. Cross cueir and interoperability fo geolocation	Tactical and strategi computing supportin all source intelligenc with multi-level acces	Robust human an cultural collection and reporting. Fused all-source data
Surveillance an Reconnaissanc	Limited capacity to process, exploit, disseminate FMV. Weak multi-INT fusio	Modular payloads. Smart, autonomous collection, analysis dissemination	Everything and everybody is a senso and a relay
Electronic Warfare Information Operations	Emphasis on ground based EW systems. Advancements in electronic attack and counter-measure technologies	Earnest work to counter potential pee threats: anti-ship missiles, torpedoes a anti-aircraft missiles	Smart, agile waveform, frequency d management
	2010 20	015 2020 202	25 2030

Mercury well positioned given its capabilities and programs

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Source: US DoD4ISR Market, Frost & Sullivan, June 2010; Mercury analysis

US DoDC4ISR: Technology roadmap and trends 2010-2030

Computers	Embedded COTS fo tactical users. Intel, GPUs, FPGAs. Shift more open integrated subsystems	New architectures an onboard for exploitation on smalle form factors	Wearable, networked cognitiv computers with light weight long lasting batteries
Command and Control	Resource manageme controlled from distributed common ground / surface systems	Interoperable global intranet. Merging of onboard processors with mission computers	Platform-to-platfon interoperability with more machine intervention
Tactical Communicatior	Various RF radios an networks, most with inadequate bandwidth Interim software defined radio, wireles IP networks	Seamless COTS-base software defined radio Apps to "pull' information as neede	Ubiquitous cognitiv radios, multi-level access and security
	2010 20	015 2020 202	25 2030
		1 1141	

Mercury well positioned given its capabilities and programs

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Source: US DoD4ISR Market, Frost & Sullivan, June 2010; Mercury analysis

Mercury Federal Systems: vision and approach

- Acquire companies with strong ISR capabilities
- Team to force-multiply Mercury's expertise
- Transition gov'tP: Labs, FFRDCs, UARCs, academia
- Best-of-breed solutions across ISR spectrum
- Emphasis on application ready subsystems critical to ISR market
- Rapid and modular implementation of classified applications

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

The ISR systems and technology services arm of Mercury Computer Systems based on affordable, innovative best of breed open solutions

Layered ISR architecture

- Wide area multi-INT persistent ISR
- Find and precisely target enemy intents and activities
- Monitor activity in difficult environments
- Cross-platform cueing
- Rapid capabilities at low cost: 80% solution OK



Best-of-breed provider of commercially developed, open application ready and multi-INT subsystems for the ISR mark

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

MFS business value-add evolving towards onboard exploitation

- Leveraging ACS building blocks
- Deployed applications for onboard exploitation
- Affordable and open architectures enabling rapid spiral development
- Future growth driven by multi-INT net centric systems, software and services



The MFS and ACS business model offers more affordable capa

Migrating ground multi-INT to onboard platforms

"Analysts at the AF 480th ISRW review approximately 820 hours of full-motion video daily and exploit more than 1,000 targets per day" <u>ASD News: March 19, 20</u>10

Value of Timely Results:

- Reduces amount of data processed on the ground
- "Heavy lifting"onboard platform to address comm's bottlenecks
- Focuses analysts on transforming knowledge into action



MFS major program: Persistent ISR airborne surveillance

- Quick reaction capability with MFS as the payload provider
- Partnered with other agile companies (Sierra Nevada Corp, ITT, BAE, L3, Adam Works)
- Rapid prototyping and demonstration of best-of-breed
- Real-time tailored feeds directly to the forces
- Near real-time "TIVO®" capability



39

Growth into SIGINT market to address present and future DoDneeds

- Interoperable COMINT systems
- Modular ISR planning and collection
- Scalable solutions to include different manned and unmanned platforms
- Rapid integration at affordable costs
 - Prototypes
 - Low rate initial production
 - Full production



Acquisition is focused on expanding into COMINT area

Delivered strong revenue growth since FY08 startup

- 95% revenue growth FY10
- Beginning FY11 backlog \$2.7M
- Next-generation persistent ISR program driving growth
- Best-of-breed, ISR subsystem architect, developer & integrator
- Leverages ACS application ready ISR subsystem solutions
- Open platform-independent
 architectures



Growth opportunities for MFS



- Multi-INT onboard processing
- Initial focus is on EO/IR and COMINT
- Leverage ACS investments
- Transition government IP from lab to deployment
- Deliver 'blackboxby enhancing 'whitebox' ARS with classified IP
- Center of excellence for rapid and modular development

Innovative solutions at an affordable price

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast (a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
 - Didier Thibaud, SVP and GM, ACS business unit
- Financial Review
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS) Defense 36% growth (11% CAGR) since FY07

- Focus on providing application ready subsystems for C4ISR
- Leverage technologies and product between commercial and defense markets
- Optimized performance for SWaP
- Quick Response Capabilities (QRC) through service offerings
- Defense continues its growth 11% CAGR; Radar (32% CAGR and EO (29% CAGR) FY07-10
- Commercial revenues stabilized



Commercial stabilized and double digit CAGR in Defense

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Note: ACS revenues include intercompany revenue of \$.1M in FY08, \$2.1M in FY09 and \$5.0M in FY10 44

Commercial segment dynamics

- Semiconductor
 - Market rebounding
 - ASML in production
 - KT at end of cycle
- Communication
 - Entering new area: 4G test equipment
 - New satellite communication systems in deployment
- Homeland Security
 - Design wins still in development
 - New opportunities









Commercial revenue stabilized

Go-To-Market strategy driving growth

- Design wins:
 - New products & tech refresh
 - New customers
 - New segments
- Services and Systems
 Integration:
 - Outsourcing by Primes
 - Application ready subsystems
- Program production:
 - Missile defense
 - UAV radar/EW/EO/IR
 - Fighter radar
 - Airborne EW



Well positioned to sustain double-digit defense growth

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.



© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

ACS design win value CAGR 15%

Defense CAGR 38%

Defense Highlights

- Aegis -Naval BMD, C4I
- Patriot Missile Defense
- BAMS -SIGINT, Radar
- Predator/ Reaper-Radar
- ASIP -Airborne SIGINT
- JCREW Ground SIGINT
- SEWIP Naval SIGINT
- Cobra Ball -EO/IR
- SSEE(F)Naval SIGINT

Commercial Highlights

- ASML -Semiconductor
- Artiza -4G test
- Hughes -Satellite comms
- Rapiscan Baggage scanning

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.



Note: Potential is 5 year probable value based on customer-supplied information at time design win awarded. Actual program value may be higher or lower.

48

ACS Design Wins - 5 Year Probable Revenue (\$M)

SEWIP Block 2another \$100M+ program

Lockheed Martin displaced incumbent

- Next generation EW
 upgrade for NAVY
- Deployment met compressed schedule for field test
- Leveraged Mercury
 application expertise
- Delivered best-of-breed application ready subsystem
- EW performance-optimized



49

Strong partnership with Prime driving content expansion which could double the program value to Mercury

Services and Systems Integration delivered 119% CAGR FY08-FY10

- 85% revenue growth FY10
- Expands addressable market
- Outsourcing partner to Defense Primes given acquisition reform
- Best-of-breed application ready ISR subsystem solutions
- Services-led engagement leading to long-term platform production annuity streams

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.



ACS Services and Systems Integration Revenue \$M



ONE TEAM, ONE MISSION, ONE FUTURE

JCREW 3.3: Next generation Counter IED

- 12 month development cycle:
 - Services and Systems
 Integration engagement
 - OpenVPXapplication ready building blocks _____
 - SWaPprocessing optimized
- Previous generations high volume ground mobile
 - JCREW 2.1 25,000 systems
 - JCREW 3.2 5,000 systems
- DoDto begin acquiring JCREW 3.3 systems in FY13
 - # systems TBD

Program potential could drive significant growth

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Note: Mercury not involved in JCREW 2.1 and 3.2 $\,$



Positioned in major programs aligned to defense budget



© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Aegis ballistic missile defense: SPY-1 BMD Radar

- Program in production
- \$35M booked in FY10
- First systems installed on Aegis ships
- 30+ ship upgrade scheduled over 5 years
- Upside opportunities:
 - New data recorder design win in FY10
 - Lockheed Martin awarded
 Aegis Ashore development



54

\$100M+ of expected upgrades for BMD over next 5 years

Patriot missile defense: Next generation ground radar

- First award \$18M
 - Development
 - UAE
 - Entering production
- Second award received
 - Taiwan
- Future awards:
 - < 12 months: Saudi Arabia, Turkey
 - ->12 months: Others
- Major potential with US Army upgrade



TT

55

Well positioned for growth



- Focused on growing ISR market
- Strategically positioned on important, well funded defense programs
- Business model aligned with expected defense procurement reform
- Outsourcing partner to the primes
- Best-of-breed application ready ISR subsystem provider

Commercial stabilized; strong growth in defense

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast (a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
- Financial Review
 - Bob Hult, CFO
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

 \sim

FY07 - FY10: Restored profitability & growth

GAAP	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10
Revenue (\$M)	224	190	189	200
Gross Margin % Revenue	55.6%	57.8%	55.8%	56.3%
Operating Expenses (\$M)	165	115	98	95
Op Income (\$M) % Revenue	(41) (18.1%)	(5) (2.8%)	8 4.1%	17 8.7%
EPS (Continuing)	(\$1.78)	(\$0.21)	\$0.35	\$1.22
Adj EBITDA (\$M) % Revenue	Not Reported	23 11.8%	23 12.1%	30 14.9%
Operating Cash Flow (\$M)	(\$10)	\$14	\$11	\$16
# Employees EOY	729	530	517	523

Note: FY07 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2007 form 10K and have not been restated for discontinued operations. FY08 -FY10 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2010 form 10K.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Major business dynamics Focus on strengthening and growing the defense business



Note: Excludes\$5M interco eliminations © 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

1

Growth in bookings and backlog

FY07-FY10 Defense CAGR: Bookings 10%, Backlog 10%, 12-month Backlog 18%









Note: FY07-FY10 Total CAGR: Bookings 7%, Backlog 14%, 12-month Backlog 21%. FY07-10 figures adjusted for discontinued operations

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

 \sim

200

42

158

FY10

FY07-FY10 profitability improves



Notes:

1

FY07 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2007 form 10K and have not been restated for discontinued operations.

• FY08 -FY10 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2010 form 10K. •

FY10 Earnings per Share were positively influenced by the partial reversal of the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets and an effective FY10 tax rate benefit of approximately 5%

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^\circ}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Efficient working capital platform to support growth

• Supply chain transformation

- Engineering methods
- Investments in DFM
- Operational efficiencies
- Reduced lead times
- Improved cost of quality
- Inventory reduced \$10M
 from Q3 FY08 to Q1 FY11
- Customer satisfaction
 - Blue chip customers
 - End-of-quarter shipment skew remains an issue

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.



Much improved cash conversion cycle



 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^\circ}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

1

Mercury's balance sheet poised for investment

Acquisition financing available

	Q1'11 (\$M)
Cash and marketable securities	82
Other financing sources:	
 Operating line of credit 	15
 Acquisition line of credit 	20
 Universal shelf registration 	100

Generating positive free cash flow from operations; zero deb

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Robust target business model

- Target business model organic growth only
- ACS / MFS approx 90% / 10% revenue split
- High mix, low volume
- R&D investments delivering significant added value
- Increased services and systems integration
- Services-led design wins lead to production subsystem annuity strean

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

GAAP	MFS Proforma	ACS Proforma	Target Business Model
Revenue	100%	100%	100%
Gross Margin	20%	55%	54+%
SG&A	12%	23%	Low-mid 20's
R&D	0%	19%	High Teens
Income from Operations	~8%	~13%	12-13%
AdjEBITDA	~11%	~18%	17-18%

Results approaching the target business model

Operating leverage with growth

GAAP	FY08	FY09	FY10	Target Busines Model
Revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gross Margin	58%	56%	56%	54+%
SG&A	37%	29%	27%	Low-mid 20's
R&D	24%	22%	21%	High Teens
Operating Income	(3%)	4%	9%	12-13%
AdjEBITDA	12%	12%	15%	17-18%

Note: FY08 - FY10 figures are as reported in the Company's fiscal 2010 form 10K.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

1

Q1 FY11 year over year comparison (GAAP)

GAAP	Q1 FY10	Q1 FY11	Delta
Revenue (\$M)	47	52	10%
Gross Margin % Revenue	57.6%	58.8%	120 bps
Operating Expenses (\$M	22	25	(3)
Operating Income (\$M) % Revenue	5 10.7%	5 10.1%	0 (.6) pts
AdjEBITDA	8	9	1
EPS	\$0.19	\$0.16	(\$0.03)
Op Cash Flow (\$M)	3	9	6
Total Backlog (\$M) 12-mo Backlog(\$M)	99 62	104 91	5% 45%

Notes: •All historical income statement figures have been restated for operations that have been discontinued subsequent to that time. •Q1 FY10 tax rate 17%, Q1 FY11 tax rate 36%

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Q2 FY11 guidance

	Q2 FY10 Actual	QuarterEndin@ec31,2010	
		Low	High
Revenues (\$M)	\$45	\$54	\$55
GAAÆPS	\$0.08	\$0.10	\$0.12
AdjEBITDA (\$M)	\$5.5	\$7.1	\$7.7
Note-AdjEBITDAAdjustments(\$M)			
Net Income (Continuing)	1.9	2.4	2.8
Stock compensation	1.5	1.3	1.3
Impairment	0.2	0.0	0.0
Interest Expense	0.1	0.0	0.0
Interest Income	(0.2)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Taxes	0.3	1.4	1.6
Amortization	0.4	0.4	0.4
Depreciation	1.2	1.6	1.6
AdjEBITD 4 (\$M)	5.5	7.1	7.7

 \mathbb{N}

0 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc. Note: Q2 FY10 tax rate 15%, Q2 FY11 tax rate 36%
Last 13 quarter's revenues and EPS exceeded or met the top end of guidance Note: Non-GAAP

2008	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4		
	Reported	Guidance	Reported	Guidance	Reported	Guidance	Reported	Guidance	
Revenue (\$M)	49.2	48.0	52.6	51.0	56.5	53.0-55.0	55.2	53.0-56.0	
EPS (\$)	0.09	(0.08)	0.04	(0.05)	0.04	(0.04)-0.00	0.01	(0.05)-0.01	
2009	(Q1	(Q2	(Q3		Q4	
Revenue (\$M)	49.1	47.0-49.0	50.7	47.0-49.0	50.6	48.0-50.0	48.4	46.0-48.0	
EPS (\$)	0.07	(0.07)-(0.03)	0.03	(0.05)-0.00	0.20	0.05-0.09	0.13	0.05-0.08	
2010	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4		
Revenue (\$M)	47.4	43.0-45.0	45.2	40.0-42.0	43.6	41.0-43.0	63.6	58.0-60.0	
EPS (\$)	0.19	0.03-0.08	0.08	(0.08)-(0.04)	0.16	(0.15)-(0.11)	0.77	0.25-0.28	
2011	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4		
Revenue (\$M)	52.1	48.0-50.0		54.0-55.0					
EPS (\$)	0.16	0.03-0.06		0.10-0.12		-	3	7	

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

69

GAAP

Poised for growth and investment



- Restored profitability and growth
- Improved working capital efficiencies
- Healthy cash flows from operations
- Strong balance sheet with zero debt
- Capital available for acquisitions
- Closing on robust target business model 17-18% adj. EBITDA

Agenda

- Corporate Overview
- Keynote: ADM Edmund P. Giambast(a) SN Ret) Former Vice Chair, US Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Coffee Break (20 min)
- Mercury Federal Systems (MFS)
 - David Martinez, President
- Advanced Computing Solutions (ACS)
- Financial Review
- Closing Remarks / Q&A

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

 \mathbb{N}

MRCY positioned for growth in a changing industry



- Focusedon growingISR market strong position on important, well funded programs
- Outsourcing partnetto the Primes–best-ofbreed application ready and ISR subsystems
- Government amenable business model well aligned with defense procurement reform
- Delivering strong organic growth in defense with robust proforma target business model
- Pursuing complementary acquisitions to transition business model and scale

Continuing to build a pure-play, best-of-breed ISR subsystem and technology-enabled software and services company

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.





Appendix

Glossary

ACS	Advanced Computing Solutions Group	JCREW	Joint Counter Radio Controlled Improvised Explosive Device Electronic Warfare
AEGIS	Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense System	JSTARS	Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System
API	Application Programming Interface	кт	KLA Tencor
ASIP	Airborne Signals Intelligence Payload	LSRS	Littoral Surveillance Radar System
ASML	Advanced Semiconductor Materials Lithography	MFS	Mercury Federal Systems
BAMS	Broad Area Maritime Surveillance	MRL	Manufacturing Readiness Level
BMD	Ballistic Missile Defense	МТІ	Moving Target Indicator
C4	Command, Control, Communications, Computers	NFOV	Narrow Field of View
C4ISR	Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance	NTM	National Technical Means
COMINT	Communications Intelligence	OpenVPX	System-level specification for VPX, initiated by Mercury
COTS	Commercial off-the Shelf	os	Operating System
DCAA	Defense Contract Audit Agency	P-Win	Probability of Win
DFM	Design for Manufacturing	QRC	Quick Reaction Capability
DoD	Department of Defense	RF	Radio Frequency
DSP	Digital Signal Processing	SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
EO	Electro-optical	SEWIP	Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement Program
EW	Electronic Warfare	SIGINT	Signals Intelligence
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research & Development Center	SSEE	Ships Signal Exploitation Equipment
FMV	Full Motion Video	SSI	Services & Systems Integration Group
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array	SWaP	Size Weight and Power
GMTI	Ground Moving Target Indicator	TCPED	Tasking, Collecting, Processing, Exploitation, and Dissemination
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit	THAAD	Theatre High-Altitude Area Defense Missile System
HUMINT	Human Intelligence	TRL	Technology Readiness Level
IMINT	Imagery Intelligence	UAE	United Arab Emirates
INT	Intelligence	UARC	University Affiliated Research Center
IP	Intellectual Property	UAV	Unmanned Airborne Vehicle
IR	Infrared	VADER	Vehicle and Dismount Exploitation Radar
IRAD	Internal Research & Development	WAAS	Wide area airborne surveillance
ISR	Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance	WAMI	Wide area motion imagery
© 2010 Me	ercury Computer Systems, Inc.		

Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation (\$M)

	Yea Jun	ar Ended Yea 1 <u>e 30, 20</u> 07Jun	ar Ended Yea <u>e 30, 20</u> 08Jun	ar Ended Yea <u>e 30, 20</u> 0 9 un	ar Ended <u>e 30, 201</u> 0
Operating Income (loss)	\$	(40.55)	(5.49)	7.7\$	17.3
Adjustment to include interest income/(expense)		2.6	3.1	(0.5)	0.2
Adjustment to include other income/(expense)		2.7	1.5	0.8	1.2
Adjustment to include income tax provision/(benefit)		2.6	3.7	0.1	(9.4)
Income (loss) from continuing operations as reported		(37.8)	(4.4)	7.9	28.1
Adjustment to include income/(loss) from disco ops, net of income	e taxe	S	(30.0)	(20.3)	0.2
Adjustment to include gain/(loss) on sale of disco ops, net of inco	me ta	xes	(1.0)	11.2	0.1
Net Income (loss)	C	(37.8)	(35.4)	(1.3)	28.4
Income (loss) from continuing operations as reported			(4.4)	7.9	28.1
Interest (income) expense, net			(3.1)	0.5	(0.2)
Income tax (benefit) expense			3.7	0.1	(9.4)
Depreciation			7.4	5.6	5.1
Amortization of acquired intangible assets			5.1	2.4	1.7
Restructuring			4.5	1.7	0.2
Impairment of long-lived assets			0.6	0.0	0.2
Stock-based compensation expense			8.8	4.6	4.0
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	- \$	22.5 \$	22.9 \$	29.9

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}}$ 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.

Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation (\$M)

	(<u>Se</u>	Quarter Ended ptember 30, 20	Q 0 <u>95ep</u>	uarter Ended <u>otember 30, 20</u> 10
Operating Income (loss)	\$	5.1	\$	5.2
Adjustment to include interest income/(expense)		0.0		(0.0)
Adjustment to include other income/(expense)		0.3		0.5
Adjustment to include income tax provision/(benefit)		0.9		2.1
Income (loss) from continuing operations		4.4		3.7
Adjustment to include income/(loss) from disco ops, net of income tax	es	0.0		(0.1)
Adjustment to include gain/(loss) on sale of disco ops, net of income t	axes	(0.1)		0.0
Net Income (loss)		4.4		3.6
Income (loss) from continuing operations		4.4		3.7
Interest (income) expense, net		(0.0)		0.0
Income tax (benefit) expense		0.9		2.1
Depreciation		1.3		1.4
Amortization of acquired intangible assets		0.4		0.3
Restructuring		0.3		(0.0)
Impairment of long-lived assets		0.0		0.0
Stock-based compensation expense		0.5		1.3
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	7.8	\$	8.8

© 2010 Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.